

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,316	04/01/2004	James D. Young	CYSZ 2 00083	7248
27885 FAY SHARPE	7590 09/24/200 LLP	7	EXAMINER	
1100 SUPERIO	OR AVENUE, SEVEN	TH FLOOR	CHARLES, MARCUS	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/815,316	YOUNG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Marcus Charles	3682					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 July 2007</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1.4-8 and 10-17 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 1.4-8 and 13-16 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 10-12 and 17 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3682

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to the amendment filed 7-02-2007, which has been entered. Claims 1, 4-8 and 10-17 are currently pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In line 11, it is not clear as to how the root surface is defined to prevent contact between the rollers.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10-12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Young (5,997,424). Young discloses the claimed invention including the roller chain first sprocket (112) having a first plurality of asymmetric sprocket teeth, a second sprocket (114) having a second plurality of asymmetric sprocket teeth, wherein the first plurality asymmetric teeth comprises first and second different asymmetric teeth profile randomly spaced around the hub of the sprocket (see fig. 8). Young fails to disclose the

Page 3

Art Unit: 3682

pressure angles are different such that the pressure angle of the second asymmetric teeth profile is at least 5 degrees greater than the pressure angle of the asymmetric teeth profile. However, Young clearly disclose the sprocket having different asymmetrical tooth profile. Therefore, it is inherent that the first and second asymmetrical tooth profile will have different pressure angles because the profiles are different. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would modify the device of Young so that the second pressure angle would be at least 5 degrees greater than the first pressure angle, the first pressure angle is at least negative three (-3) degrees but more than ten (10) degrees and the second pressure angle is at least six (6) degrees but not more than twenty three (23) degrees, since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claim is disclose in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves routing skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1, 4-8 and 13-16 allowed.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 07-02-2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contended that a person of ordinary skill in the art would not have found it obvious that a selected pressure angles for first and second tooth profiles be at least 5 degrees apart from each other based upon the teachings of young (424), which merely discloses the desirability of the first and second pressure angles being different but selected from the same selectively narrow ranges. In response, it should be

Application/Control Number: 10/815,316

Art Unit: 3682

that the ranges disclose by Young is within the ranges of the claimed invention.

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would fined necessary to modify the device of Young so as to obtain the difference in the pressure angle as claimed. Therefore, for reasons given above the rejection is deemed proper.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus Charles whose telephone number is (571) 272-7101. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,316

Art Unit: 3682

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ridley Richard can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Marcus Charles
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3682

Page 5

September 12, 2007